

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/925,506

Applicant(s)
FRIMM et al.

Examiner
Tara L. Mayo

Group Art Unit
3672



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tara L. Mayo (3) _____
(2) Johnathan P. Osha (4) _____

Date of Interview 24 Jun 1999

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-3

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicants' representative stated that upon the filing of a response, claims 1 and 2 (which stand finally rejected per the last Office action mailed 19 May 1999), would be canceled and additional claims would be added substantially the same as claim 3 but including terminology rotated 90 degrees with respect to the claimed vessel. The Examiner stated that pending an updated search, this would place the instant application in condition for issue.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate report of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

DAVID BAGNELL
DAVID BAGNELL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600
28 JUNE 1999

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.